

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

PRISONER GRIEVANCE APPEAL STATEMENT

PRINT NAME	INSTITUTION/MODULE	OBSCIS NUMBER	LOG NUMBER
Brown, Anthony L.	CCA/FCC/LH103	6555	06-0046

**DATE OF APPEAL** 1/30/6 **I am appealing the Superintendent's determination for the following reasons:**

The grievance response concurs with the Grievance Officer's (SCO A. Rodriguez) investigation. The investigator found that my previous grievance, #06-0022, had been screened appropriately "as the inmate refers to staff as monkeys" and "any purported medically trained personnel who infers otherwise should return their licenses to the crack jack candy manufacturer."

Additionally, grievance officer states that I "can re-submit his grievance omitting his biased opinions of staff" and "If he fails to do this, his next grievance will be returned unanswered."

1. No provision of AKDOC P&P 808.03 permits screening of grievances because it is "unbiased." No profane or obscene words were used.
2. No provision of AKDOC P&P 808.03 permits screening of grievances because it ~~is~~ makes suggestions for incompetent staff regarding their professional licenses. No profane or obscene words were used.
3. The grievance investigator prevaricates in his findings. In fact, I did not refer to responsible medical staff as "monkeys." I did not give them that much credit; I said "monkeys could do a better job."
4. Threatening that if I "fail[s] to do this [omit my biased opinion], his next grievance will be returned unanswered," violates 808.03 Policy D. That act constitutes retaliation, as does the mere threat.
5. This grievance was not investigated by "unbiased" staff, in accordance with 808.03 Policy C. All CCA employees have a corporate duty to stockholders in that corporation to shortstop any complaints that demonstrate contract non-compliance or reflects badly on the corporation; they can be held liable by the corporation for doing otherwise.

PROCESS GRIEVANCE #06-0022 AS IT IS WRITTEN.

PRISON'S SIGNATURE: *Anthony L. Brown* 1/30/6

I ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS GRIEVANCE APPEAL STATEMENT AND HAVE LOGGED IT WITH THE APPROPRIATE INITIALLY FILED GRIEVANCE.

DATE FILED IN COMPLIANCE: 1/30/06 GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR'S SIGNATURE: *Cathy*

**DIRECTOR OF INSTITUTIONS/MEDICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE'S DECISION:**

Mr. Brown: Your two grievances have been reviewed. Your first grievance was screened inappropriately. You did not use profane or obscene language, as required by policy, but you certainly could have used a better method of communication to get your point across. Concerning the basis of the first grievance, ideally, we would like to see you complete the treatment with no interruption, however, missing a week of the treatment will not effect the outcome, it will just lengthen the time you need to receive the interferon. Every attempt is being made to make sure that the necessary medication is received in a timely manner by the medical staff. Your appeal is granted, in part.

*Jeffrey M. Dixon* 2/1/06  
Director of Institutions Date